arXiv:quant-ph/0205003v2 1 Jul 2002

PT-SYMMETRIC SQUARE WELL AND THE ASSOCIATED SUSY HIERARCHIES

B. BAGCHI* and S. MALLIK

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Calcutta,
92 Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road, Calcutta 700 009, India

C. QUESNE†

Physique Nucléaire Théorique et Physique Mathématique,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine CP229,
Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Abstract

The PT-symmetric square well problem is considered in a SUSY framework. When the coupling strength Z lies below the critical value $Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$ where PT symmetry becomes spontaneously broken, we find a hierarchy of SUSY partner potentials, depicting an unbroken SUSY situation and reducing to the family of $\sec^2$-like potentials in the $Z \to 0$ limit. For Z above $Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$, there is a rich diversity of SUSY hierarchies, including some with PT-symmetry breaking and some with partial PT-symmetry restoration.

Running head: PT-symmetric square well

PACS: 03.65.Fd, 03.65.Ge

Keywords: supersymmetric quantum mechanics, PT symmetry, square well

*E-mail: bbagchi@cucc.ernet.in

†Directeur de recherches FNRS; E-mail: cquesne@ulb.ac.be

1 Introduction

One of the simplest solvable one-dimensional potentials in quantum mechanics is the square well potential [1]. It describes the confinement of a particle trapped in a box with infinite walls. As is well known, the energy spectrum of such a particle is entirely discrete and nondegenerate.

The square well potential problem has been examined in a supersymmetric (SUSY) context too [2]. One finds that a sequence of Hamiltonians can be generated starting from a free-particle potential inside the well. Such a hierarchy, which is controlled by a family of $\sec^2$-like potentials, enjoys the property that its adjacent members are SUSY partners.

Recently, the idea of PT symmetry in quantum mechanics has evoked a lot of interest [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], especially in connection with its nontrivial role in non-Hermitian SUSY systems [9, 10, 11, 12]. Briefly, PT-symmetric Hamiltonians are the ones which are invariant under joint action of parity (P: $x \to -x$) and time reversal (T: $\mathrm{i} \to -\mathrm{i}$). More importantly, such Hamiltonians are conjectured [13, 14, 15, 18, 17, 16] to preserve the reality of their bound-state eigenvalues, except possibly when PT is spontaneously broken. SUSY methods enable one to construct non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real and/or complex discrete eigenvalues by complexifying the underlying superpotential. Indeed this has allowed extensions of a number of exactly solvable models in ordinary quantum mechanics to the non-Hermitian sector [9, 12].

In this Letter, our primary concern is to derive a PT-analogue of the aforementioned $\sec^2$-hierarchy. In this regard, the PT-symmetric version of the square well potential and its associated SUSY partner prove to be our natural starting point. Enquiries into the functioning of the PT-symmetric square well reveal [19, 20] that a critical value of the coupling parameter exists beyond which some energy levels appear in complex-conjugate pairs. This signals an onset of a PT-spontaneously broken phase. However, below the critical value, PT symmetry is unbroken with all the energy levels remaining real. As we plan to show, the existence of a PT-symmetry broken phase is responsible for some new features in the SUSY extension to non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.

2 PT-Symmetric Square Well

A PT-symmetric square well potential on the interval $(-1, 1)$ can be defined in the manner [19]

$$V_{\rm R}(x) = -{\rm i}Z, \qquad V_{\rm L}(x) = {\rm i}Z, \tag{1}$$

where Z is the coupling strength and L (R) denotes the region $-1 < x < 0$ ($0 < x < 1$). The wave functions, at the end points of the interval, are enforced to be vanishing as is normally the case with the real square well problem with impenetrable walls.

For real eigenvalues E_n ($n = 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ \ldots$), the Schrödinger equation for (1) is equivalent to the pair

$$\psi''_{n{\rm R}} = \kappa_n^2 \psi_{n{\rm R}}, \qquad \psi''_{n{\rm L}} = \kappa_n^{*2} \psi_{n{\rm L}}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\kappa_n^2 = -E_n - {\rm i}Z = (s_n - {\rm i}t_n)^2, \qquad s_n, t_n \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3}$$

Solving (3) gives

$$t_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(E_n + \sqrt{E_n^2 + Z^2} \right)^{1/2}, \qquad s_n = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{2}} \left(E_n + \sqrt{E_n^2 + Z^2} \right)^{-1/2}. \tag{4}$$

The solutions of (2) fulfilling the conditions $\psi_{n{\rm R}}(1) = \psi_{n{\rm L}}(-1) = 0$ may be written as

$$\psi_{n{\rm R}} = C_{n{\rm R}} \sinh[\kappa_n(1-x)], \qquad \psi_{n{\rm L}} = C_{n{\rm L}} \sinh[\kappa_n^*(1+x)]. \tag{5}$$

To establish a link between the (complex) constants $C_{n{\rm R}}$ and $C_{n{\rm L}}$, we see that the continuity of the wave function and its derivative at $x = 0$ imposes the conditions

$$\kappa_n \coth \kappa_n + \kappa_n^* \coth \kappa_n^* = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{C_{n{\rm R}}}{C_{n{\rm L}}} = \frac{\sinh \kappa_n^*}{\sinh \kappa_n}. \tag{7}$$

Now, if we require $\psi_{n{\rm R}}$ and $\psi_{n{\rm L}}$ to be also PT-symmetric near the origin, that is

$$\psi_{n{\rm R}}(0) = \psi_{n{\rm L}}(0) = \alpha_n, \qquad \partial_x \psi_{n{\rm R}}(0) = \partial_x \psi_{n{\rm L}}(0) = {\rm i}\beta_n, \tag{8}$$

where the parameters α_n, $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then use of (8) enables us to rewrite the eigenfunctions (5) as

$$\psi_{n\mathrm{R}} = \frac{\alpha_n}{\sinh \kappa_n} \sinh[\kappa_n(1-x)], \qquad \psi_{n\mathrm{L}} = \frac{\alpha_n}{\sinh \kappa_n^*} \sinh[\kappa_n^*(1+x)]. \tag{9}$$

It is to be noted that the condition (6), which is independent of $C_{n\mathrm{R}}$ and $C_{n\mathrm{L}}$, can also be put in the form

$$s_n \sinh 2s_n + t_n \sin 2t_n = 0, \tag{10}$$

where we have used (3). Setting $s_n \sinh 2s_n = 2\sinh^2 S_n$ and $t_n = \frac{\pi}{2} T_n$, we can recast (10) as

$$4\sinh^2 S_n = -\pi T_n \sin \pi T_n. \tag{11}$$

Equation (11) shows that the points (T_n, S_n) of the T-S plane corresponding to the eigenvalues E_n belong to the Z-independent curve

$$S = X(T) = \operatorname{arcsinh} \tfrac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\pi T \sin \pi T}, \tag{12}$$

where $2m-1 < T < 2m$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. On the other hand, Eq. (3) may be exploited to obtain $\sinh^2 S_n = \frac{Z}{2\pi T_n} \sinh \frac{2Z}{\pi T_n}$, which in turn exposes the Z-dependence of S:

$$S = Y(Z,T) = \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{Z}{2\pi T} \sinh \frac{2Z}{\pi T}}. \tag{13}$$

The pair of equations (12) and (13) imply that the points (T_n, S_n), and in consequence the energies E_n, are at the intersections of the two curves $S = X(T)$ and $S = Y(Z,T)$. As shown in [19, 20], if Z is below the critical threshold $Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})} \approx 4.48$, there are two real eigenvalues in every interval $2\nu - 1 < T < 2\nu$, where $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$. At $Z = Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$, the two lowest real eigenvalues E_0 and E_1 merge and for $Z > Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$ move into the complex plane, where they become complex conjugate. The corresponding eigenfunctions ψ_0 and ψ_1 then cease to be PT-symmetric. More generally, there exists a naturally-ordered increasing sequence of critical couplings $Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})} < Z_1^{(\mathrm{crit})} < \cdots < Z_\nu^{(\mathrm{crit})} < \cdots$ at which a pair of real eigenvalues $(E_{2\nu}, E_{2\nu+1})$ merges and moves into the complex plane.

In Secs. 2–5, we shall consider the unbroken PT-symmetry case ($Z < Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$), leaving the discussion of the broken PT-symmetry one ($Z > Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$) for Sec. 6.

3 SUSY Partner in the Unbroken PT-Symmetry Case

With the above preliminaries on the PT-symmetric square well potential, we proceed to the construction of its SUSY partner in the $Z < Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$ case. Adopting the notations of [12], we consider the following factorization scheme

$$H^{(\pm)} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V^{(\pm)}(x) - E_0 \equiv (\bar{A}A, A\bar{A}), \tag{14}$$

corresponding to an arbitrary factorization energy $E = E_0$. In (14), $V^{(\pm)}$ are the SUSY partner potentials and the operators A, $\bar{A}$ may be defined in terms of a superpotential $W(x)$ as

$$A = \frac{d}{dx} + W(x), \qquad \bar{A} = -\frac{d}{dx} + W(x). \tag{15}$$

Inserting (15) in (14) gives

$$V^{(\pm)} = W^2 \mp W' + E_0. \tag{16}$$

Identifying $V^{(+)}$ with the square well potential (1), that is, taking $V_{\mathrm{R}}^{(+)} = -\mathrm{i}Z$ and $V_{\mathrm{L}}^{(+)} = \mathrm{i}Z$, we obtain for $W(x)$ the following differential equations

$$W_{\mathrm{R}}^2 - W_{\mathrm{R}}' = \kappa_0^2, \qquad W_{\mathrm{L}}^2 - W_{\mathrm{L}}' = \kappa_0^{*2}. \tag{17}$$

Solving (17) we get

$$W_{\mathrm{R}}(x) = -\kappa_0 \tanh[\kappa_0(x - x_{\mathrm{R}})], \qquad W_{\mathrm{L}}(x) = -\kappa_0^* \tanh[\kappa_0^*(x + x_{\mathrm{L}})], \tag{18}$$

where x_{R} and x_{L} are two integration constants.

From (18) it results that the partner potential to (1) is given by

$$V_{\mathrm{R}}^{(-)}(x) = -\mathrm{i}Z + 2\kappa_0^2 \,\mathrm{cosech}^2[\kappa_0(1 - x)], \quad V_{\mathrm{L}}^{(-)}(x) = \mathrm{i}Z + 2\kappa_0^{*2} \,\mathrm{cosech}^2[\kappa_0^*(1 + x)]. \tag{19}$$

The partner Hamiltonian $H^{(-)}$ is therefore PT-symmetric. Note that in (19), x_{R} and x_{L} have been chosen as $x_{\mathrm{R}} = 1 - \mathrm{i}\pi/(2\kappa_0)$ and $x_{\mathrm{L}} = 1 - \mathrm{i}\pi/(2\kappa_0^*)$ to ensure that

$V^{(-)}(x)$ blows up at the end points $x = -1$ and $x = +1$, which is as it should be. Observe that the superpotential $W(x)$ also blows up at these points:

$$W_{\rm R}(x) = \kappa_0 \coth[\kappa_0(1-x)], \qquad W_{\rm L}(x) = -\kappa_0^* \coth[\kappa_0^*(1+x)]. \tag{20}$$

To obtain the eigenfunctions associated with $H^{(-)}$ we first remark that the ground state eigenfunction $\psi_0^{(+)}(x)$ of $H^{(+)}$, given by (9) when $n = 0$, is annihilated by the operator A:

$$\left[\frac{d}{dx} + W_{\rm R}(x)\right] \psi_{0{\rm R}}^{(+)}(x) = \frac{\alpha_0^{(+)}}{\sinh \kappa_0} \left\{\frac{d}{dx} + \kappa_0 \coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]\right\} \sinh[\kappa_0(1-x)] = 0, \tag{21}$$

where the superscript $(+)$ is appended to the eigenfunction and the coefficient parameter to signify that we are dealing with the $H^{(+)}$ component. A similar result like (21) holds for $\left[\frac{d}{dx} + W_{\rm L}(x)\right] \psi_{0{\rm L}}^{(+)}(x)$.

Exploiting then the intertwining character of SUSY, the eigenfunctions $\psi_n^{(-)}(x)$, $n = 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ \ldots,$ of $H^{(-)}$ are obtained by application of A on $\psi_{n+1}^{(+)}$ subject to the preservation of the boundary and continuity conditions:

$$\psi_{n{\rm R}}^{(-)}(1) = 0, \qquad \psi_{n{\rm L}}^{(-)}(-1) = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$\psi_{n{\rm R}}^{(-)}(0) = \psi_{n{\rm L}}^{(-)}(0), \qquad \partial_x \psi_{n{\rm R}}^{(-)}(0) = \partial_x \psi_{n{\rm L}}^{(-)}(0). \tag{23}$$

We get in this way

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n{\rm R}}^{(-)}(x) &= C_{n{\rm R}}^{(-)} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}^{(+)}}{\sinh \kappa_{n+1}} \sinh[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)] \\
&\quad \times \{-\kappa_{n+1} \coth[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)] + \kappa_0 \coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]\}, \\
\psi_{n{\rm L}}^{(-)}(x) &= C_{n{\rm L}}^{(-)} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}^{(+)}}{\sinh \kappa_{n+1}^*} \sinh[\kappa_{n+1}^*(1+x)] \\
&\quad \times \{\kappa_{n+1}^* \coth[\kappa_{n+1}^*(1+x)] - \kappa_0^* \coth[\kappa_0^*(1+x)]\},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $C_{n{\rm R}}^{(-)}$ and $C_{n{\rm L}}^{(-)}$ are (complex) constants. These eigenfunctions satisfy the boundary conditions (22) because of the limiting relations of the type $\lim_{x\to 1}\{\sinh[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)]/\sinh[\kappa_0(1-x)]\} = \kappa_{n+1}/\kappa_0$.

On the other hand, because of the continuity conditions (23) it turns out that

$$C^{(-)}_{n\mathrm{R}} = C^{(-)}_{n\mathrm{L}} = C^{(-)}_n, \tag{25}$$

along with

$$\kappa^2_{n+1} - \kappa^{*2}_{n+1} = \kappa^2_0 - \kappa^{*2}_0 = -2\mathrm{i}Z, \tag{26}$$

where we have used (3).

As previously, if we require $\psi^{(-)}_n$ to be also PT-symmetric near the origin and so denote by $\alpha^{(-)}_n$ and $\mathrm{i}\beta^{(-)}_n$ the respective values of $\psi^{(-)}_n(x)$ and $\partial_x\psi^{(-)}_n(x)$ at $x = 0$, where $\alpha^{(-)}_n, \beta^{(-)}_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain, on account of (25), the following forms of the eigenfunctions of $H^{(-)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(-)}_{n\mathrm{R}}(x) &= \frac{\alpha^{(-)}_n \sinh[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)]}{\sinh\kappa_{n+1}(\kappa_{n+1}\coth\kappa_{n+1} - \kappa_0\coth\kappa_0)} \\
&\quad \times \{\kappa_{n+1}\coth[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)] - \kappa_0\coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]\}, \\
\psi^{(-)}_{n\mathrm{L}}(x) &= \frac{\alpha^{(-)}_n \sinh[\kappa^*_{n+1}(1+x)]}{\sinh\kappa^*_{n+1}(\kappa^*_{n+1}\coth\kappa^*_{n+1} - \kappa^*_0\coth\kappa^*_0)} \\
&\quad \times \{\kappa^*_{n+1}\coth[\kappa^*_{n+1}(1+x)] - \kappa^*_0\coth[\kappa^*_0(1+x)]\},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\alpha^{(-)}_n = C^{(-)}_n \alpha^{(+)}_{n+1}(-\kappa_{n+1}\coth\kappa_{n+1} + \kappa_0\coth\kappa_0)$.

We remark that the above eigenfunctions of $H^{(-)}$, defined by (14) and (19), have SUSY related eigenvalues

$$E^{(-)}_n = E^{(+)}_{n+1} = E_{n+1} - E_0 = \kappa^2_0 - \kappa^2_{n+1}. \tag{28}$$

Notice that in (28), the coupling strength Z only appears implicitly in the κ's. Equation (28) reflects a typical unbroken SUSY feature: pairing of the eigenvalues of the partner Hamiltonians with the ground state nondegenerate for $n = 0$, as shown by (21) for $\psi^{(+)}_{0\mathrm{R}}(x)$ and a similar equation for $\psi^{(+)}_{0\mathrm{L}}(x)$.

4 $Z \to 0$ Limit

At this stage, it is instructive to look into the $Z \to 0$ limit. In this limit, Eq. (3) becomes $\kappa^2_n = -E_n = -t^2_n$. From the continuity condition (10), which is now $t_n \sin 2t_n = 0$, we are led to

$$t_n = (n+1)\frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad E_n = (n+1)^2\frac{\pi^2}{4}. \tag{29}$$

For the odd values of n for which $\sin t_n = 0$, the other continuity condition (7) becomes useless because its right-hand side is indeterminate. Going back to the square well eigenfunctions (5), which are now

$$\psi_{n\mathrm{R}}^{(+)} = -\mathrm{i}C_{n\mathrm{R}}^{(+)} \sin[t_n(1-x)], \qquad \psi_{n\mathrm{L}}^{(+)} = \mathrm{i}C_{n\mathrm{L}}^{(+)} \sin[t_n(1+x)], \tag{30}$$

it is however straightforward to see that the continuity conditions yield two solutions

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n\mathrm{R}}^{(+)} &= -C_{n\mathrm{L}}^{(+)} = C_n^{(+)}, \qquad \cos t_n = 0, \\ C_{n\mathrm{R}}^{(+)} &= C_{n\mathrm{L}}^{(+)} = C_n^{(+)}, \qquad \sin t_n = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

according to whether n is even or odd. As a consequence, the eigenfunctions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2\nu}^{(+)} &= -\mathrm{i}C_{2\nu}^{(+)}(-1)^{\nu} \cos\left[(2\nu+1)\frac{\pi}{2}x\right], \\ \psi_{2\nu+1}^{(+)} &= \mathrm{i}C_{2\nu+1}^{(+)}(-1)^{\nu+1} \sin[(\nu+1)\pi x], \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where we do not have to distinguish between the intervals $(-1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ anymore. The forms (32) are in conformity with the known results for the real square well [1].

Let us now consider the SUSY partner as $Z \to 0$. Since $\kappa_0 = -\mathrm{i}t_0 = -\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{2}$, the superpotentials in (20) become $W_{\mathrm{R,L}}(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)$. As a result, the partner potentials in (19), too, acquire the common form $V_{\mathrm{R,L}}^{(-)} = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\sec^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)$ that coincides with the SUSY partner of the real square well first obtained in [2].

For the partner eigenfunctions we obtain, in the $Z \to 0$ limit,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2\nu}^{(-)}(x) &= C_{2\nu}^{(-)}C_{2\nu+1}^{(+)}\,\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{2}(-1)^{\nu+1}\Big\{(2\nu+2)\cos[(\nu+1)\pi x] \\ &\quad + \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\sin[(\nu+1)\pi x]\Big\}, \\ \psi_{2\nu+1}^{(-)}(x) &= C_{2\nu+1}^{(-)}C_{2\nu+2}^{(+)}\,\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{2}(-1)^{\nu+1}\Big\{(2\nu+3)\sin\left[(2\nu+3)\frac{\pi}{2}x\right] \\ &\quad - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\cos\left[(2\nu+3)\frac{\pi}{2}x\right]\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From the results of [2], we know that the real square well $V_1(x) = V_{\mathrm{R,L}}^{(+)}(x)$ generates a whole family of $\sec^2$-like potentials with increasing strengths,

$$V_m(x) = V_1(x) + \frac{\pi^2}{4}m(m-1)\sec^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right), \qquad m = 1,2,3,\ldots, \tag{34}$$

corresponding to a hierarchy of Hamiltonians, whose adjacent members are SUSY partners. Having found the PT-symmetric analogue of the second member of the family, $V_2(x) = V^{(-)}_{\rm R,L}(x)$, we may now try to build counterparts of the other members, V_3, V_4, ... Such a construction is outlined in the next section.

5 SUSY Hierarchy in the Unbroken PT-Symmetry Case

Let us define a hierarchy of partner Hamiltonians H_m, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, whose first member H_1 coincides with that of the PT-symmetric square well. According to this description, we have the following set of SUSY partners

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(+)}_m &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V^{(+)}_m(x) - E_{m,0} = H_m - E_{m,0} = \bar{A}_m A_m, \\ H^{(-)}_m &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V^{(-)}_m(x) - E_{m,0} = H_{m+1} - E_{m,0} = A_m \bar{A}_m, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $V^{(+)}_m(x) = V_m(x)$, $V^{(-)}_m(x) = V_{m+1}(x)$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. For $m = 1$, $V_{\rm 1R}$ and $V_{\rm 1L}$ are given by (1), while for $m = 2$, $V_{\rm 2R}$ and $V_{\rm 2L}$ are given by (19). As usual, the operators A_m and $\bar{A}_m$ can be written in terms of the superpotentials W_m and are $A_m = \frac{d}{dx} + W_m(x)$, $\bar{A}_m = -\frac{d}{dx} + W_m(x)$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. For $m = 1$, $W_{\rm 1R}$ and $W_{\rm 1L}$ are given by (20). In terms of W_m, the partner potentials $V^{(\pm)}_m$ read

$$V^{(\pm)}_m = W^2_m \mp W'_m + E_{m,0}. \tag{36}$$

We denote the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of H_m by $E_{m,n}$ and $\psi_{m,n}$, respectively. These satisfy the SUSY properties

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m,n} &= E_{m-1,n+1} = \cdots = E_{1,m+n-1}, \\ (\psi_{m,n})_{\rm R,L} &= (C_{m,n})_{\rm R,L} \left[\frac{d}{dx} + (W_{m-1})_{R,L}\right] (\psi_{m-1,n+1})_{\rm R,L}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

along with the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{m,n})_{\rm R}(0) &= (\psi_{m,n})_{\rm L}(0) = \alpha_{m,n}, \\ \partial_x(\psi_{m,n})_{\rm R}(0) &= \partial_x(\psi_{m,n})_{\rm L}(0) = {\rm i}\beta_{m,n}, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $\alpha_{m,n},\ \beta_{m,n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Up to now we have determined the energy eigenvalues for $m = 1$ and 2,

$$E_{1,n} = E_n = -\mathrm{i}Z - \kappa_n^2, \qquad E_{2,n} = -\mathrm{i}Z - \kappa_{n+1}^2, \tag{39}$$

with associated eigenfunctions

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi_{1,n})_{\mathrm{R}} &= (C_{1,n})_{\mathrm{R}} \sinh[\kappa_n(1-x)], \\
(\psi_{1,n})_{\mathrm{L}} &= (C_{1,n})_{\mathrm{L}} \sinh[\kappa_n^*(1+x)], \\
(\psi_{2,n})_{\mathrm{R}} &= (C_{2,n})_{\mathrm{R}}(C_{1,n+1})_{\mathrm{R}} \sinh[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)] \\
&\quad \times \{-\kappa_{n+1}\coth[\kappa_{n+1}(1-x)] + \kappa_0 \coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]\}, \\
(\psi_{2,n})_{\mathrm{L}} &= (C_{2,n})_{\mathrm{L}}(C_{1,n+1})_{\mathrm{L}} \sinh[\kappa_{n+1}^*(1+x)] \\
&\quad \times \{\kappa_{n+1}^*\coth[\kappa_{n+1}^*(1+x)] - \kappa_0^* \coth[\kappa_0^*(1+x)]\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In (40) we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
(C_{1,n})_{\mathrm{R}} &= \frac{\alpha_{1,n}}{\sinh\kappa_n}, \qquad (C_{1,n})_{\mathrm{L}} = \frac{\alpha_{1,n}}{\sinh\kappa_n^*}, \\
(C_{2,n})_{\mathrm{R}} &= (C_{2,n})_{\mathrm{L}} = C_{2,n} = \frac{\alpha_{2,n}}{\alpha_{1,n+1}(-\kappa_{n+1}\coth\kappa_{n+1} + \kappa_0\coth\kappa_0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

To construct the third member of the hierarchy we observe that $W_2(x)$ can be easily determined from the property $A_2\psi_{2,0} = 0$ that yields $W_2(x) = -\psi_{2,0}'/\psi_{2,0}$. Explicit calculations give for W_2 the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{2,\mathrm{R}}(x) &= -\kappa_0\coth[\kappa_0(1-x)] + \frac{\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_0^2}{\kappa_1\coth[\kappa_1(1-x)] - \kappa_0\coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]}, \\
W_{2,\mathrm{L}}(x) &= -W_{2\mathrm{R}}^*(-x).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

For the potential $V_3(x)$, our results turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{3,\mathrm{R}}(x) &= -\mathrm{i}Z - 2(\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_0^2)\frac{\kappa_1^2\,\mathrm{cosech}^2[\kappa_1(1-x)] - \kappa_0^2\,\mathrm{cosech}^2[\kappa_0(1-x)]}{\{\kappa_1\coth[\kappa_1(1-x)] - \kappa_0\coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]\}^2}, \\
V_{3,\mathrm{L}}(x) &= V_{3,\mathrm{R}}^*(-x),
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

while for the eigenfunctions we get

$$(\psi_{3,n})_{\mathrm{R}} = (C_{3,n})_{\mathrm{R}}(C_{2,n+1})_{\mathrm{R}}(C_{1,n+2})_{\mathrm{R}} \sinh[\kappa_{n+2}(1-x)]\Big\{\kappa_{n+2}^2 - \kappa_0^2$$

$$- (\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_0^2)\frac{\kappa_{n+2}\coth[\kappa_{n+2}(1-x)] - \kappa_0\coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]}{\kappa_1\coth[\kappa_1(1-x)] - \kappa_0\coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]}\Bigg\},$$

$$(\psi_{3,n})_{\rm L} = (C_{3,n})_{\rm L}(C_{2,n+1})_{\rm L}(C_{1,n+2})_{\rm L}\sinh[\kappa^*_{n+2}(1+x)]\Bigg\{\kappa^{*2}_{n+2} - \kappa^{*2}_0$$

$$- (\kappa^{*2}_1 - \kappa^{*2}_0)\frac{\kappa^*_{n+2}\coth[\kappa^*_{n+2}(1+x)] - \kappa^*_0\coth[\kappa^*_0(1+x)]}{\kappa^*_1\coth[\kappa^*_1(1+x)] - \kappa^*_0\coth[\kappa^*_0(1+x)]}\Bigg\}, \quad (44)$$

where $E_{3,n} = -{\rm i}Z - \kappa^2_{n+2}$ and $(\psi_{3,n})_{\rm R}(1) = (\psi_{3,n})_{\rm L}(-1) = 0$.

It remains now to impose the continuity conditions (38) on the eigenfunctions (44). While the first one leads to

$$(C_{3,n})_{\rm R} = (C_{3,n})_{\rm L} = C_{3,n}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_{3,n}}{\alpha_{2,n+1}}\left\{\frac{\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_0^2}{\kappa_1\coth\kappa_1 - \kappa_0\coth\kappa_0} - \frac{\kappa^2_{n+2} - \kappa^2_0}{\kappa_{n+2}\coth\kappa_{n+2} - \kappa_0\coth\kappa_0}\right\}^{-1}, \quad (45)$$

the second one amounts to an identity when (41) and (44) are taken into account.

We have thus obtained explicit forms of the first three members of the SUSY hierarchy for the PT-symmetric square well potential. It is clear that by applying similar techniques, formulas for other members may be similarly constructed.

It is easy to check that in the $Z \to 0$ limit, all the results obtained in this section go over to those for the third member of the real square well hierarchy. Equations (42) and (43), for instance, yield $W_{2,{\rm R}}(x) = W_{2,{\rm L}}(x) = W_2(x) = \pi\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)$ and $V_{3,{\rm R}}(x) = V_{3,{\rm L}}(x) = V_3(x) = \frac{3}{2}\pi^2\sec^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)$, in conformity with Eq. (34).

It is useful to stress here that, in the same limit, the eigenfunctions of the first three members in the hierarchy, namely (9), (27), and (44), turn out to be proportional to Gegenbauer polynomials:

$$Z \to 0: \qquad \psi_{1,n}(x) = -{\rm i}C_{1,n}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)C_n^{(1)}\left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\right],$$

$$\psi_{2,n}(x) = -{\rm i}\pi C_{2,n}C_{1,n+1}\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)C_n^{(2)}\left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\right],$$

$$\psi_{3,n}(x) = -2{\rm i}\pi^2 C_{3,n}C_{2,n+1}C_{1,n+2}\cos^3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)C_n^{(3)}\left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\right]. \quad (46)$$

In (32) and (33) we had already furnished the limiting forms ($Z \to 0$) of the first two members in the hierarchy. Note that to get to the representations (46) we used

the definition of the Gegenbauer polynomial $C_n^{(1)}(\cos\phi) = [\sin(n+1)\phi]/\sin\phi$ and considered the general recurrence relation

$$\left[\frac{d}{dx} + (m-1)\frac{\pi}{2}\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\right]\cos^{m-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)C_{n+1}^{(m-1)}\left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\right] \tag{47}$$

$$= \pi(m-1)\cos^{m}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)C_{n}^{(m)}\left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)\right], \tag{48}$$

which can be easily obtained from known properties of Gegenbauer polynomials [21]. For nonvanishing values of Z, it can be shown that the eigenfunctions of the PT-symmetric square well and of the next two members in the hierarchy, namely (40) and (44), can be rewritten in terms of Gegenbauer functions of the type $C^{(p)}_{(\kappa_{n+q}/\kappa_0)-p}\{\cosh[\kappa_0(1-x)]\}$ or $C^{(p)}_{(\kappa^*_{n+q}/\kappa^*_0)-p}\{\cosh[\kappa^*_0(1+x)]\}$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$.

6 SUSY Hierarchies in the Broken PT-Symmetry Case

Let us now consider coupling strengths Z for which PT symmetry is spontaneously broken and assume first that Z lies between the first two critical values, $Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})} \approx 4.48 < Z < Z_1^{(\mathrm{crit})} \approx 12.80$ [20]. The PT-symmetric square well has then a single pair of complex-conjugate eigenvalues $E_0 = e_0 - \mathrm{i}\epsilon_0$, $E_1 = E_0^* = e_0 + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_0$ (where $\epsilon_0 > 0$), and an infinite sequence of real eigenvalues E_n, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$.

The corresponding Schrödinger equation is equivalent to

$$\psi''_{n\mathrm{R}} = \rho_n^2\psi_{n\mathrm{R}}, \qquad \psi''_{n\mathrm{L}} = \sigma_n^2\psi_{n\mathrm{L}}, \tag{49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= \kappa_0, \qquad \rho_1 = \lambda_0, \qquad \rho_n = \kappa_n, \qquad n = 2, 3, \ldots, \\ \sigma_0 &= \lambda_0^*, \qquad \sigma_1 = \kappa_0^*, \qquad \sigma_n = \kappa_n^*, \qquad n = 2, 3, \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and

$$\kappa_0^2 = -e_0 + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_0 - \mathrm{i}Z, \qquad \lambda_0^2 = -e_0 - \mathrm{i}\epsilon_0 - \mathrm{i}Z, \qquad \kappa_n^2 = -E_n - \mathrm{i}Z, \qquad n = 2, 3, \ldots. \tag{51}$$

The solutions of (49), vanishing at the end points of the interval $(-1, +1)$ and satisfying the continuity conditions of ψ_n and ψ_n' at $x = 0$, are given by

$$\psi_{n\mathrm{R}} = C_{n\mathrm{R}} \sinh[\rho_n(1-x)], \qquad \psi_{n\mathrm{L}} = C_{n\mathrm{L}} \sinh[\sigma_n(1+x)], \tag{52}$$

where

$$\rho_n \coth \rho_n + \sigma_n \coth \sigma_n = 0, \qquad \frac{C_{n\mathrm{R}}}{C_{n\mathrm{L}}} = \frac{\sinh \sigma_n}{\sinh \rho_n}. \tag{53}$$

Choosing them real at $x = 0$, we obtain

$$C_{n\mathrm{R}} = \frac{\alpha_n}{\sinh \rho_n}, \qquad C_{n\mathrm{L}} = \frac{\alpha_n}{\sinh \sigma_n}, \qquad \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{54}$$

With this choice, the eigenfunctions ψ_n, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, corresponding to the real eigenvalues E_n, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, are PT-symmetric as in the unbroken PT-symmetry case, but this does not hold true for ψ_0, ψ_1, corresponding to the complex-conjugate eigenvalues E_0, E_1.

To construct a SUSY hierarchy of partner Hamiltonians H_m, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, whose first member coincides with that of the PT-symmetric square well, we have now various possibilities at our disposal. At each stage, we may indeed eliminate either the lowest real eigenvalue or one of the two complex eigenvalues as long as there remains some. As we shall proceed to show, this leads to a rich diversity of hierarchies: a PT-symmetric hierarchy with spontaneous symmetry breaking, two non-PT-symmetric hierarchies with partial PT-symmetry restoration, as well as various mixed-type hierarchies.

Let us start with the first one to be distinguished by an upper index (1). By successively eliminating the lowest-lying real eigenvalues $E^{(1)}_{1,2} = E_2$, $E^{(1)}_{1,3} = E_3, \ldots$, while keeping the two complex ones $E^{(1)}_{1,0} = E_0$, $E^{(1)}_{1,1} = E_1$ at every stage, we obtain PT-symmetric potentials $V^{(1)}_m(x)$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, with a pair of complex-conjugate eigenvalues $E^{(1)}_{m,0} = E_0$, $E^{(1)}_{m,1} = E_1$, and an infinite sequence of real eigenvalues $E^{(1)}_{m,2} = E_{m+1}$, $E^{(1)}_{m,3} = E_{m+2}, \ldots$. The corresponding eigenfunctions $\psi^{(1)}_{m,n}(x)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, turn out to be PT-symmetric only starting from $n = 2$.

This is illustrated with the second and third members of the hierarchy, which can be obtained from the results of Sec. 5 by making some appropriate substitutions: $\kappa_0 \to \rho_2$, $\kappa_1 \to \rho_3$, $\kappa_0^* \to \sigma_2$, $\kappa_1^* \to \sigma_3$ for $W_1^{(1)}$, $V_2^{(1)}$, $W_2^{(1)}$, and $V_3^{(1)}$, and the same together with $\kappa_1 \to \rho_0$, $\kappa_2 \to \rho_1$, $\kappa_1^* \to \sigma_0$, $\kappa_2^* \to \sigma_1$ or $\kappa_2 \to \rho_0$, $\kappa_3 \to \rho_1$, $\kappa_2^* \to \sigma_0$, $\kappa_3^* \to \sigma_1$ for $\psi_{2,n}^{(1)}$ and $\psi_{3,n}^{(1)}$, respectively. The corresponding potentials, for instance, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2,\mathrm{R}}^{(1)}(x) &= -\mathrm{i}Z + 2\kappa_2^2 \operatorname{cosech}^2[\kappa_2(1-x)], \\ V_{2,\mathrm{L}}^{(2)}(x) &= V_{2,\mathrm{R}}^{(1)*}(-x), \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{3,\mathrm{R}}^{(1)}(x) &= -\mathrm{i}Z - 2(\kappa_3^2 - \kappa_2^2)\frac{\kappa_3^2 \operatorname{cosech}^2[\kappa_3(1-x)] - \kappa_2^2 \operatorname{cosech}^2[\kappa_2(1-x)]}{\{\kappa_3 \coth[\kappa_3(1-x)] - \kappa_2 \coth[\kappa_2(1-x)]\}^2}, \\ V_{3,\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}(x) &= V_{3,\mathrm{R}}^{(1)*}(-x). \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

We conclude that all the numbers of this first hierarchy have properties very similar to those of the PT-symmetric square well for the chosen Z value, namely all of them are PT-symmetric but exhibit spontaneously broken PT symmetry with a single pair of complex-conjugate eigenvalues.

Let us now consider the second type of hierarchies, which are obtained by successively eliminating the two complex eigenvalues, then the real ones in increasing energy order. Since we may choose to eliminate first E_0, then E_1, or the reverse, there are two different hierarchies to be referred to by an upper index (2) or (3), respectively.

To obtain results for the former from those of Sec. 5, it is enough to perform the substitutions $\kappa_n \to \rho_n$, $\kappa_n^* \to \sigma_n$. So we get for instance

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2,\mathrm{R}}^{(2)}(x) &= -\mathrm{i}Z + 2\kappa_0^2 \operatorname{cosech}^2[\kappa_0(1-x)], \\ V_{2,\mathrm{L}}^{(2)}(x) &= \mathrm{i}Z + 2\lambda_0^{*2} \operatorname{cosech}^2[\lambda_0^*(1+x)], \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{3,\mathrm{R}}^{(2)}(x) &= -\mathrm{i}Z - 2(\lambda_0^2 - \kappa_0^2)\frac{\lambda_0^2 \operatorname{cosech}^2[\lambda_0(1-x)] - \kappa_0^2 \operatorname{cosech}^2[\kappa_0(1-x)]}{\{\lambda_0 \coth[\lambda_0(1-x)] - \kappa_0 \coth[\kappa_0(1-x)]\}^2}, \\ V_{3,\mathrm{L}}^{(2)}(x) &= V_{3,\mathrm{R}}^{(2)*}(-x), \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

while the remaining potentials $V_n^{(2)}(x)$, $n = 4, 5, \ldots$, are PT-symmetric. The potential $V_2^{(2)}(x)$ and the eigenfunctions of the corresponding Hamiltonians $H_2^{(2)}$ are not PT-symmetric, which is not surprising [3, 14, 15, 18, 17, 16] since $H_2^{(2)}$ has a single complex eigenvalue $E_{2,0}^{(2)} = E_1$ in addition to real ones, $E_{2,n}^{(2)} = E_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Strangely enough, the next potential $V_3^{(2)}$ is PT-symmetric and the corresponding spectrum $E_{3,n}^{(2)} = E_{n+2}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, is entirely real, *but* the eigenfunctions $\psi_{3,n}^{(2)}(x)$, with no definite symmetry under PT, do not even differ by a simple phase factor from $\psi_{3,n}^{(2)*}(-x)$, as it is normally the case for eigenfunctions of PT-symmetric Hamiltonians [15]. This distinctive feature may be traced back to the finite discontinuity of the PT-symmetric square well and of its SUSY partners at $x = 0$, which has led us to ensure smoothness of the eigenfunctions by imposing continuity conditions externally by hand. As explicitly shown here, such a procedure does not guarantee PT symmetry of the eigenfunctions at all levels. In contrast, the results of [15] were based on the tacit assumption of smoothness of the potential over the entire real line and may therefore not be compared with the present situation.

The other second-type hierarchy only differs from the first one by the interchange of E_0 and E_1 or κ_0, κ_0^* and λ_0, λ_0^*. As a result, we get

$$V_2^{(3)}(x) = V_2^{(2)*}(-x), \qquad V_3^{(3)}(x) = V_3^{(2)}(x). \tag{59}$$

Hence all the corresponding potentials of the two hierarchies coincide, but for the second ones, which are related through PT symmetry. It can also be shown that from $m = 2$ onwards, the eigenfunctions of corresponding members $H_m^{(2)}$, $H_m^{(3)}$ of the two hierarchies are also related through PT symmetry: $\psi_{m,n}^{(3)}(x) = \psi_{m,n}^{(2)*}(-x)$, $m = 2, 3, \ldots$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. We therefore conclude that these second-type hierarchies are non-PT-symmetric ones, but exhibit partial PT-symmetry restoration.

It should now be clear that apart from the hierarchies considered so far, there also exist a lot of mixed-type ones, which differ from one another and from the previous ones in the step (resp. steps) where one of the two (resp. both) complex eigenvalues is (resp. are) eliminated.

Finally, if the coupling strength Z lies in another interval $\left(Z_{\nu-1}^{(\mathrm{crit})}, Z_{\nu}^{(\mathrm{crit})}\right)$, such that $\nu \in 2, 3, \ldots$, and there therefore exist ν pairs of complex-conjugate eigenvalues $(E_0,\ E_1 = E_0^*)$, $(E_2,\ E_3 = E_2^*)$, $\ldots$, $(E_{2\nu-2},\ E_{2\nu-1} = E_{2\nu-2}^*)$ in addition to the real ones, SUSY hierarchies of partner Hamiltonians can be constructed in many different ways. In particular, by eliminating the complex eigenvalues, it is possible to partially restore PT symmetry after 2ν steps.

7 Conclusion

In the present Letter, we have established the SUSY connection of the PT-symmetric square well both in the unbroken and broken PT-symmetry cases. In the former we have availed ourselves of this to derive a PT-symmetric analogue of the sec^2-hierarchy.

In this respect, the PT-symmetric world proves more intricate than the Hermitian one: not only has one to resort to numerical calculations to determine the eigenvalues, but also the potentials and the eigenfunctions get more and more complicated when going to successive members of the hierarchy in contrast to what happens for the real square well.

Another intricacy of the PT-symmetric square well problem, namely the existence of complex eigenvalues for a coupling strength above the critical threshold $Z_0^{(\mathrm{crit})}$, leads to a new feature in the SUSY extension to non-Hermitian Hamiltonians: the existence of a rich diversity of SUSY hierarchies, including some with PT-symmetry breaking and some with partial PT-symmetry restoration.

Acknowledgments

One of us (S. M.) thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for financial support.

References

[1] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968).

[2] C. V. Sukumar, *J. Phys.* **A18**, L57 (1985).

[3] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998).

[4] F. M. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros and M. Znojil, *J. Phys.* **A31**, 10105 (1998).

[5] F. Cannata, G. Junker and J. Trost, *Phys. Lett.* **A246**, 219 (1998).

[6] E. Delabaere and F. Pham, *Phys. Lett.* **A250**, 25, 29 (1998).

[7] B. Bagchi, F. Cannata and C. Quesne, *Phys. Lett.* **A269**, 79 (2000).

[8] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, *Phys. Lett.* **A273**, 285 (2000).

[9] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe, F. Cannata and J.-P. Dedonder, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A14**, 2675 (1999).

[10] B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, *J. Phys.* **A33**, L1 (2000).

[11] M. Znojil, F. Cannata, B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, *Phys. Lett.* **B483**, 284 (2000).

[12] B. Bagchi, S. Mallik and C. Quesne, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16**, 2859 (2001).

[13] D. Bessis, unpublished (1992).

[14] R. Kretschmer and L. Szymanowski, "The interpretation of quantum-mechanical models with non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and real spectra", quant-ph/0105054.

[15] B. Bagchi, C. Quesne and M. Znojil, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A16**, 2047 (2001).

[16] Z. Ahmed, *Phys. Lett.* **A282**, 343 (2001); **A287**, 295 (2001).

[17] A. Mostafazadeh, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 205 (2002).

[18] G. S. Japaridze, *J. Phys.* **A35**, 1709 (2002).

[19] M. Znojil, *Phys. Lett.* **A285**, 7 (2001).

[20] M. Znojil and G. Lévai, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A16**, 2273 (2001).

[21] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Vol. I.